# Non-perturbative Renormalization and Improvement of Lattice QCD

#### Stefan Sint

#### Trinity College Dublin



EuroPLEx online school

Dublin, 14 October 2020

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Scope of perturbation theory
- Ø Momentum subtraction schemes in the continuum

- Son the lattice (RI/MOM scheme)
- Finite volume schemes, requirements
- The Schrödinger functional
- **③** Some results in  $N_{\rm f} = 2$  QCD

The statement "QCD at low energies cannot be treated non-perturbatively due to the coupling being large" is only half of the truth:

- In QCD with massless quarks, all non-vanishing hadronic masses (protons, glueballs,...) are proportional to the Λ-parameter and thus non-analytic in the coupling!
- $\Rightarrow$  not computable in perturbation theory whatever the size of the coupling!
  - The chiral condensate is extracted from the 1-point function:

$$\Sigma = -\lim_{m_q o 0} \lim_{a o 0} \lim_{L o \infty} \langle \left( ar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) 
ight)_{\mathrm{R}} 
angle$$

•  $\Sigma = 0$  exactly in perturbation theory, order by order!

#### Momentum Subtraction Schemes (MOM)

Recall procedure in continuum perturbation theory:

- example: renormalisation of the pseudoscalar density  $P^a(x) = \overline{\psi}(x)\gamma_5 \frac{1}{2}\tau^a \psi(x)$ :
- Correlation functions in momentum space with external quark states:

$$\left\langle \widetilde{\psi}(p)\widetilde{\overline{\psi}}(q) \right\rangle = (2\pi)^4 \delta(p+q) S(p)$$
 quark propagator  
 $\left\langle \widetilde{\psi}(p)\widetilde{P}^a(q)\widetilde{\overline{\psi}}(p') \right\rangle = (2\pi)^4 \delta(p+q+p') S(p) \Gamma_P^a(p,q) S(p+q),$ 

• At tree-level:

$$egin{array}{rl} |\Gamma_P^a(p,q)|_{ ext{tree}}&=&\gamma_5rac{1}{2} au^a,\ \Rightarrow&rac{1}{24}\sum_{a=1}^3 ext{tr} \left\{\gamma_5 au^a\Gamma_P^a(p,q)|_{ ext{tree}}
ight\}&=&1 \end{array}$$

• Renormalised fields:

$$\psi_{\mathrm{R}} = Z_{\psi}\psi, \qquad \overline{\psi}_{\mathrm{R}} = Z_{\psi}\overline{\psi}, \qquad P_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathsf{a}} = Z_{\mathrm{P}}P^{\mathsf{a}}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  renormalised vertex function:

$$\Gamma_{P,\mathrm{R}}^{a}(p,q) = Z_{\mathrm{P}}Z_{\psi}^{-2}\Gamma_{P}^{a}(p,q)$$

• typical MOM renormalisation condition (quark masses set to zero; mass-independent scheme!):

$$[\Gamma^{a}_{P,\mathrm{R}}(
ho,0)|_{\mu^{2}=
ho^{2}}=\gamma_{5}rac{1}{2} au^{a}$$
  $\Rightarrow$   $Z_{\mathrm{P}}Z_{\psi}^{-2}$ 

• equivalently using "projector":

$$rac{1}{24}\sum_{a=1}^{3} {
m tr} \left\{ \gamma_{5} au^{a} \Gamma^{a}_{P,{
m R}}(p,0) |_{\mu^{2}=p^{2}} 
ight\} = 1$$

• Determine  $Z_{\psi}$  either from quark propagator or use MOM scheme for vertex function of a conserved current

$$\Gamma_{V,\mathrm{R}}(p,q) = Z_{\psi}^{-2} \Gamma_{V}(p,q)$$

### Summary: MOM schemes in the continuum

- Renormalisation condions are imposed on vertex functions in the gauge fixed theory with external quarks, gluons or ghosts.
- The vertex functions are taken in momentum space; a particular momentum configuration is chosen, such that the vertex function becomes a function of a single momentum *p*;
- MOM condition: a renormalised vertex function at subtraction scale  $\mu^2 = p^2$  equals its tree-level expression,
- $\Rightarrow$  mass independent scheme if quark masses = 0.
  - Definition of renormalised gauge coupling: take vertex function of either the 3-gluon vertex, the quark-gluon vertex or the ghost-gluon vertex.
  - Renormalisation constants depend on the chosen gauge! Require renormalisation of quark, gluon and ghost fields.

[Martinelli et al '95 ]: mimick the procedure in perturbation theory:

- choose Landau gauge,  $\partial_{\mu}A_{\mu} = 0$ ; can be implemented on the lattice by a minimisation procedure
- RI/MOM schemes are very popular: many collaborations use it:
  - straightforward to implement on the lattice; many improvements over the years regarding algorithmic questions
  - can often be used on the very same gauge configurations which are produced for hadronic physics
- Regularisation Independence (RI) means: correlation functions of a renormalised operator do not depend on the regularisation used (up to cutoff effects).

#### RI/MOM schemes, discussion

• Suppose we have calculated a renormalised hadronic matrix element of the multiplicatively renormalisable operator *O* 

$$\mathcal{M}_{O}(\mu) = \lim_{a o 0} \langle h | O_{\mathrm{R}}(\mu) | h' 
angle$$

• Provided  $\mu$  is in the perturbative regime, one may evaluate the MOM scheme in continuum perturbation theory and evolve to a different scale:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_O(\mu') &= U(\mu',\mu)\mathcal{M}_O(\mu), \\ U(\mu',\mu) &= \exp\left\{\int_{\bar{g}(\mu)}^{\bar{g}(\mu')}\frac{\gamma_O(g)}{\beta(g)}\mathrm{d}g\right\} \end{aligned}$$

 N.B. Continuum perturbation theory is available to 3-loops in some cases!

# RI/MOM schemes, what could go wrong?

 $\bullet\,$  The scale  $\mu$  could be too low; need to hope for a "window"

$$\Lambda_{
m QCD} \ll \mu \ll a^{-1}$$

In practice scales are often too low: non-perturbative effects (e.g. pion poles, condensates) are then eliminated by fitting to expected functional form (from OPE in fixed gauge);

- $\Rightarrow$  errors are difficult to quantify!
  - Gribov copies: the (Landau) gauge condition does not have a unique solution on the full gauge orbit

- Perturbative calculations are made using
  - infinite volume
  - vanishing quark masses
- $\Rightarrow$  difficult for numerical simulations especially in full QCD.

#### A prominent non-perturbative effect: the pion pole

#### [Martinelli et al. '95]

• Consider the 3-point correlation function for *P*<sup>a</sup> used in MOM condition:

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4 x \int \mathrm{d}^4 y \, \mathrm{e}^{-ipx} \langle \overline{\psi}(\mathbf{0}) \gamma_5 \frac{1}{2} \tau^b \psi(x) \mathcal{P}^a(y) \rangle$$

• The contribution for  $x \approx 0$  is proportional to the pion propagator at vanishing momentum:

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4 y \langle P^b(0) P^a(y) 
angle \propto \left. rac{1}{m_\pi^2 + q^2} 
ight|_{q^2 = 0}$$

• Insertion into the MOM condition at  $\mu^2 = p^2$  yields

$$Z_{\rm P}^{
m MOM} \sim rac{A}{\mu^2 m_\pi^2} + \dots$$

⇒ the chiral limit is ill-defined, as  $m_{\pi}^2 \propto m_q$ (cf. lectures on  $\chi$ PT)!

### RI/MOM scheme, example 1

[ETMC collaboration, talk by P. Dimopoulos at Lattice '07 ] twisted mass QCD with  $N_{\rm f}=$  2, subtraction of pion pole à la [Giusti, Vladikas '00 ]



・ロト・西ト・西ト・日・ 日・ シック

#### A prominent non-perturbative effect: the pion pole

- pion poles; in the original MOM scheme the problem is most severe due to the kinematical choice q = 0
- $\Rightarrow$  q = 0 originally dictated by available continuum perturbation theory!. Requires a subtraction of this effect!
  - Major improvement: RI/SMOM scheme [Aoki et al. '08; Sturm et al. '09 ]): quark momenta p and p' and the vertex momentum q are taken equal in magnitude but non-zero (non-exceptional momentum configuration) The pion pole contribution becomes

$$Z_{
m P}^{
m SMOM}\sim rac{A}{\mu^2(\mu^2+m_\pi^2)}$$

and is finite in the chiral limit  $m_{\pi} = 0$  and suppressed by  $\mu^4$  rather than  $\mu^2$ .

[A. Lytle (HPQCD) '15 ] quark mass renormalization with HISQ:



Comparison between RI/MOM (left) and RI/SMOM (right) for scalar and pseudo-scalar vertex functions  $\Rightarrow$  Much reduced sensitivity to pion pole!

[Huey-Wen Lin '06 ] study of quark gluon vertex:



- Comparison of the quark vertex function in Landau gauge, fixed in two different ways on the same ensemble of gauge configurations
- Influence of Gribov copies can be sizable!

- RI/(S)MOM schemes are widely used and have allowed for many successful applications!
- Non-perturbative effects like the pion pole are either subtracted or taken into account by fits to the expected p<sup>2</sup>-behaviour; error estimates are difficult!
- A warning from the quark-gluon vertex: the effect of Gribov copies should be monitored!
- Finite volume and quark mass effects are often found to be small.
- Since the method can be applied at little cost on the existing configurations for hadronic physics, it can always be tried!

Many technical improvements over the last 20 years:

- RI/SMOM scheme (non-exceptional momenta): reduces the problem with Goldstone poles; Many continuum perturbation theory results now available up to 3-loop order.
- Can one reach higher scales? Small steps in scale may be feasible [Arthur & Boyle '10 ]; in principle need to promote to finite volume scheme: set  $\mu = 1/L$ , however:
  - periodic b.c's: need gauge fixing on the torus (complicated)
  - twisted gauge field b.c.'s? constraints on  $N_c$  and  $N_f$
  - perturbation theory to be re-done from scratch (finite volume is part of the scheme!)
- use gauge invariant correlation functions ⇒ no Gribov copies;
   PT more difficult, larger cutoff effects?

Wanted: renormalization scheme which

- is defined in a finite space-time volume
- is non-perturbatively defined;
- can be expanded in perturbation theory (up to 2-loop) with reasonable effort;
- is gauge invariant;
- is quark mass-independent.
- can be evaluated by numerical simulation!

# $\Rightarrow$ use the Schrödinger functional!

### The Schrödinger functional (formal continuum)

The Schrödinger functional appears naturally in the Schrödinger representation of QFT (Symanzik '81), as the time evolution kernel when integrating the functional Schrödinger equation: Wave functional in Dirac's notation (A, A'): field configurations at (Euclidean) times 0, T):

$$\begin{split} \psi[A] &\equiv \langle A | \psi \rangle \\ \psi'[A'] &= \int D[A] \langle A' | e^{-T \mathbb{H}} | A \rangle \langle A | \psi \rangle \end{split}$$

The Schrödinger functional is a functional of the initial and final field configuration:

$$\mathcal{Z}[A, A'] = \langle A' | \mathrm{e}^{-\mathcal{T}\mathbb{H}} | A \rangle = \int D[\phi] \mathrm{e}^{-S}.$$

The Euclidean field  $\phi$  satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\phi(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathbf{x}_0=\mathbf{0}} = A(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \phi(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathbf{x}_0=\mathcal{T}} = A'(\mathbf{x})$$

The Schrödinger functional is an example of a field theory defined on a manifold with boundary  $\Rightarrow$  problems/questions:

- Translation invariance is broken  $\Rightarrow$  momentum is not conserved.
- Conventional proofs of perturbative renormalisability rely on power counting theorems in momentum space: not applicable here!
- Heuristic arguments by Symanzik:

A renormalisable QFT remains renormalisable when considered on a manifold with boundary. Besides the usual parameter and field renormalisations one just needs to add a complete set of local boundary counterterms to the action, i.e. polynomials in the fields and its derivatives of dimension 3 or less, integrated over the boundary.

Scalar  $\phi_4^4$ -theory, boundary at  $x_0 = 0$ :

$$\int_{x_0=0} \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \, \phi^2, \qquad \int_{x_0=0} \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \, \phi \partial_0 \phi$$

# The Schrödinger functional in QCD (formal continuum)

Definition for gauge theories and QCD is analogous: The Schrödinger functional is the functional integral on a hyper cylinder,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{\text{fields}} e^{-\mathcal{S}}$$

with periodic boundary conditions in spatial directions and Dirichlet conditions in time.



Boundary conditions for gluon and quark fields:  $P_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_0),$   $P_{+}\psi(x)|_{x_0=0} = \rho \qquad P_{-}\psi(x)|_{x_0=T} = \rho'$   $\overline{\psi}(x)P_{-}|_{x_0=0} = \overline{\rho} \qquad \overline{\psi}(x)P_{+}|_{x_0=T} = \overline{\rho}',$   $A_{k}(x)|_{x_0=0} = C_{k} \qquad A_{k}(x)|_{x_0=T} = C'_{k}$  Correlation functions are then defined as usual

$$\left\langle O\right\rangle = \left\{ Z^{-1} \int_{\text{fields}} O \, \mathrm{e}^{-S} \right\}_{\rho = \rho' = 0; \, \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}' = 0}$$

*O* may contain quark boundary fields



 $\Rightarrow$  the boundary values of the quark fields are used as external sources

### Properties of the QCD Schrödinger functional

- The SF is renormalisable: as usual for coupling and quark masses; the boundary quark fields require a multiplicative renormalisation.
- absence of fermionic zero modes: numerical simulations at zero quark masses are possible!
- For some choices of C<sub>k</sub> and C'<sub>k</sub> it can be shown that the induced background gauge field is an absolute minimum of the action ⇒ perturbation theory is straightforward and seems practical at least to 2-loop order.
- As  $C_k$  and  $C'_k$  are held fixed only spatially constant gauge transformations are possible at the boundaries!:

$$C_k(\mathbf{x}) o \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) C_k(\mathbf{x}) \Lambda^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \partial_k \Lambda^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$$

i.e. the allowed  $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathrm{SU}(N)$  must be x-independent and commute with  $C_k$ .

• Therefore, bilinear boundary quark sources such as

$$\mathcal{O}^{a} = \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{y} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{z} \ \overline{\zeta}(\mathbf{y}) \gamma_{5} \frac{\tau^{a}}{2} \zeta(\mathbf{z}), \qquad \mathcal{O}'^{a} = \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{y} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{z} \ \overline{\zeta}'(\mathbf{y}) \gamma_{5} \frac{\tau^{a}}{2} \zeta'(\mathbf{z})$$

are gauge invariant!

• Typical gauge invariant correlation functions are then

$$f_{\mathrm{P}}(x_0) = -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{a=1}^{3} \langle P^a(x) \mathcal{O}^a \rangle, \qquad f_{\mathrm{A}}(x_0) = -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{a=1}^{3} \langle A_0^a(x) \mathcal{O}^a \rangle,$$



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

⇒ convenient in perturbation theory: in contrast to a periodic or infinite volume where gauge invariant fermionic correlation functions lead to one-loop diagrams at lowest order, e.g.

$$g_{\mathrm{PP}}(x_0) = -a^3 \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{a=1}^3 \langle P^a(\mathbf{x}) P^a(\mathbf{0}) \rangle$$

 dimensional analysis ⇒ at short distances one finds the asymptotic behaviour (up to logarithms):

$$g_{\mathrm{PP}}(x_0) \sim rac{\mathrm{const}}{(x_0)^3}, \qquad f_{\mathrm{P}}(x_0) \sim \mathrm{const}$$

expect

• small cutoff effects for  $f_{\rm P}(x_0)$  due to mild  $x_0$ -dependence

• good signal in numerical simulations.

#### More on the renormalisability of the SF

- no gauge invariant dimension ≤ 3 counterterm exists, the pure gauge SF is finite after renormalisation of the coupling constant
- continuum quark action with SF boundary conditions at tree-level:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{f}} = \int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \, \overline{\psi} \left( \frac{1}{2} \overleftrightarrow{D} + m 
ight) \psi - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}=0} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{x} \, \overline{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}=T} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{x} \, \overline{\psi} \psi$$

#### Exercise:

Show that the boundary terms are necessary if one requires the existence of smooth solutions to the equations of motion with SF boundary conditions

• The counterterms are linear in the boundary fields

$$\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)|_{x_0=0} = \overline{\rho}(\mathbf{x})P_-\psi(0,\mathbf{x}) + \overline{\psi}(0,\mathbf{x})P_+\rho(\mathbf{x}),$$

$$\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)|_{x_0=T} = \overline{\rho}'(\mathbf{x})P_+\psi(T,\mathbf{x}) + \overline{\psi}(T,\mathbf{x})P_-\rho'(\mathbf{x}),$$

#### More on the renormalisability of the SF

- The only dimension 3 counterterm with correct symmetries is  $\overline{\psi}\psi$
- Time reversal symmetry requires the same coefficient at  $x_0 = 0, T$
- This counterterm can thus be absorbed in a multiplicative rescaling of  $\rho, \rho', \overline{\rho}, \overline{\rho}'$  by the same renormalization constant:

$$\rho_{\rm R} = Z_{\rho}\rho, \qquad \bar{\rho}_{\rm R} = Z_{\rho}\bar{\rho}, \qquad \rho_{\rm R}' = Z_{\rho}\rho', \qquad \bar{\rho}_{\rm R}' = Z_{\rho}\bar{\rho}'$$

Consequently, setting  $Z_{\zeta} = Z_{\rho}^{-1}$ :

 $\zeta_{\rm R} = Z_\zeta \zeta, \qquad \zeta_{\rm R}' = Z_\zeta \zeta', \qquad \overline{\zeta}_{\rm R} = Z_\zeta \overline{\zeta}, \qquad \overline{\zeta}_{\rm R}' = Z_\zeta \overline{\zeta}',$ 

• Hence sources like  $\mathcal{O}^a$  are multiplicatively renormalised by  $Z_{\zeta}^2$ 

### Definition of the SF coupling [Lüscher et al. '92]

• Choose abelian and spatially constant boundary gauge fields:

$$C_{k} = \frac{i}{L} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_{3} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad C_{k}' = \frac{i}{L} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1}' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{2}' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_{3}' \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k = 1, 2$$

• with angles taken to be linear functions of a parameter  $\eta$ :

$$\begin{split} \phi_1 &= \eta - \frac{\pi}{3}, & \phi_1' &= -\phi_1 - \frac{4\pi}{3}, \\ \phi_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\eta, & \phi_2' &= -\phi_3 + \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \phi_3 &= -\frac{1}{2}\eta + \frac{\pi}{3}, & \phi_3' &= -\phi_2 + \frac{2\pi}{3}. \end{split}$$

• The gauge action has an absolute minimum for:

$$B_0 = 0,$$
  $B_k = [x_0C'_k + (L - x_0)C_k]/L,$   $k = 1, 2, 3.$ 

i.e. other gauge fields with the same action must be gauge equivalent to  $B_\mu$ 

#### Definition of the SF coupling

- Define the effective action of the induced background field  $\Gamma[B] = -\ln \mathcal{Z}[C,C']$
- In perturbation theory the effective action has the expansion

$$\Gamma[B] ~~\sim~~ g_0^{-2} \Gamma_0[B] + \Gamma_1[B] + O(g_0^2)$$

• Definition of the SF coupling:

$$\bar{g}^2(L) = \left. \frac{\partial_\eta \Gamma_0[B]|_{\eta=0}}{\partial_\eta \Gamma[B]|_{\eta=0}} \right|_{m_{\mathrm{q,i}}=0} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{g}^2(L) = g_0^2 + \mathrm{O}(g_0^4)$$

b.c.'s induce a constant colour electric field:

$$G_{0k} = \partial_0 B_k = \frac{C_k - C'_k}{L}$$

⇒ The coupling is defined as "response coefficient" to a variation of a constant colour electric field.

# Renormalisation of operators in the SF scheme (1)

Example: renormalisation of  $P^a = \overline{\psi}\gamma_5 \frac{\tau^a}{2}\psi$ :

- In this case we set  $C_k = C_k' = 0$ , i.e. trivial background field B = 0
- Define correlation functions

$$f_{\mathrm{P}}(x_0) = -\frac{1}{3} \langle \mathcal{O}^a \mathcal{P}^a(x) \rangle, \qquad f_1 = -\frac{1}{3L^6} \langle \mathcal{O}^a \mathcal{O'}^a \rangle$$





### Renormalisation of operators in the SF scheme (2)

• Renormalised correlation functions:

$$f_{\mathrm{P,R}}(x_0) = Z_{\zeta}^2 Z_P f_{\mathrm{P}}(x_0), \qquad f_{1,R} = Z_{\zeta}^4 f_1,$$

set T = L, m = 0,  $x_0 = L/2$ , and impose

$$Z_{\rm P}(g_0, L/a) rac{f_{\rm P}(L/2)}{\sqrt{f_1}} = \left. rac{f_{\rm P}(L/2)}{\sqrt{f_1}} \right|_{g_0=0}$$

• similarity with MOM schemes: the renormalised amplitude at  $\mu = L^{-1}$  equals its tree-level expression

- The ratio is formed to cancel any  $Z_{\zeta}$ .
- definition of running quark mass:  $\overline{m}(L) = Z_{P}^{-1}(L)m$ .

#### Step Scaling Functions

• The aim is to construct the Step Scaling Functions  $\sigma(u)$  and  $\sigma_{\rm P}(u)$ :

$$\sigma(u) = \bar{g}^2(2L)|_{u=\bar{g}^2(L)},$$
  
$$\sigma_{\mathrm{P}}(u) = \lim_{a \to 0} \frac{Z_{\mathrm{P}}(g_0, 2L/a)}{Z_{\mathrm{P}}(g_0, L/a)}\Big|_{u=\bar{g}^2(L)}$$

• These are related to the usual RG functions:

$$\int_{\sqrt{\sigma(u)}}^{\sqrt{u}} \frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\beta(g)} = \ln 2 \qquad \sigma_{\mathrm{P}}(u) = \exp \int_{\sqrt{\sigma(u)}}^{\sqrt{u}} \frac{\tau(g)}{\beta(g)} \mathrm{d}g$$

 One thus considers a change of scale by a finite factor s = 2; RG functions tell us what happens for infinitesimal scale changes.

# Lattice approximants $\Sigma(u, a/L)$ for $\sigma(u)$

- choose  $g_0$  and L/a = 4, measure  $\bar{g}^2(L) = u$  (this sets the value of u)
- double the lattice and measure

 $\Sigma(u,1/4)=\bar{g}^2(2L)$ 

- now choose L/a = 6 and tune  $g'_0$  such that  $\bar{g}^2(L) = u$  is satisfied
- double the lattice and measure

$$\Sigma(u,1/6)=\bar{g}^2(2L)$$

and so on ...



#### Continuum extrapolation of the SSF [ALPHA '05 ]



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### The SSF in the continuum limit

#### [ALPHA coll., Della Morte et al '05]



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三 ・ のへの



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三 ・ のへで

#### Determination of the $\Lambda$ -parameter

The formula

$$\Lambda = \mu (b_0 \bar{g}^2)^{-b_1/2b_0^2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2b_0 \bar{g}^2}\right\} \\ \times \exp\left\{-\int_0^{\bar{g}} dx \left[\frac{1}{\beta(x)} + \frac{1}{b_0 x^3} - \frac{b_1}{b_0^2 x}\right]\right\}$$

holds for any value of  $\mu.$  We may use it at  ${\it L}_{\rm min}$  to obtain

 $\Lambda L_{\min} = f(\bar{g}(L_{\min}))$ 

• The function f(g) can be evaluated at  $g = \overline{g}(L_{\min})$  since this is deep in the perturbative region. The integral in the exponent

$$\int_0^{\bar{g}} \mathrm{d}x \left[ \frac{b_2 b_0 - b_1^2}{b_0^3} x + \mathcal{O}(x^3) \right] = \frac{b_2 b_0 - b_1^2}{2b_0^3} \bar{g}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\bar{g}^4)$$

may thus be evaluated using the  $\beta$ -function at 3-loop order.

- ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 □ - 4

- Since  $L_{\max} = 2^n L_{\min}$  one knows  $L_{\max} \Lambda$
- still need  $F_{\pi}L_{\max}$

#### Matching to a low energy scale

Ideally one would like to compute e.g.  $F_{\pi}\Lambda$ , and take  $F_{\pi} = 132 \text{MeV}$  from experiment

 $\bullet$  What is required? The scale  ${\it L}_{\rm max}$  is implicitly defined:

 $ar{g}^2(L_{
m max})=4.84 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad (L_{
m max}/a)(g_0)$ 

Setting  $L_{\text{max}}/a = 6, 8, 10, \dots$  one then finds corresponding values of the bare coupling (at fixed  $g_0$  some interpolation of  $L_{\text{max}}/a$  will be necessary instead)

 One must then be able to compute aF<sub>π</sub> in a large volume simulation at the very same values of the bare coupling:

 $F_{\pi}\Lambda = \lim_{g_0 \to 0} (L_{\max}/a)(g_0)(aF_{\pi})(g_0)$ 

- One thus needs a range of  $g_0$  where both can be computed,  $aF_\pi$  and  $\bar{g}(L_{\max})$
- Remark: intermediate results are often quoted in terms of Sommer's scale  $r_0$ , rather than  $F_{\pi}$ .

#### Results for QCD with $N_{\rm f}=0$ and $N_{\rm f}=2$ quark flavours

• The scale  $r_0$  [R. Sommer '93 ] is obtained from the force F(r) between static quark and antiquark separated by a distance r:

 $r_0^2 F(r_0) = 1.65$ 

The r.h.s. was chosen so that phenomenological estimates from potential models yield  $r_0 = 0.5$  fm.

- Recent result for  $N_{\rm f} = 2$  ([ALPHA '12 ]):  $F_{\mathcal{K}} = 155 \,\mathrm{MeV}$  implies  $r_0 = 0.503(10) \,\mathrm{fm}$  (at physical pion mass!).
- Results for  $\Lambda$  using  $r_0 = 0.5 \text{ fm}$  [ALPHA '99-'12]

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Lambda^{(2)}_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} r_0 &=& 0.789(52), & \Lambda^{(2)}_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} = 310(20) \, \mathrm{MeV} \\ \Lambda^{(0)}_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} r_0 &=& 0.602(48), & \Lambda^{(0)}_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} = 238(19) \, \mathrm{MeV} \end{array}$$

#### The running quark mass

• Coupled evolution of the running mass and the coupling:

 $\overline{m}(2L) = \sigma_m(u)\overline{m}(L), \qquad \sigma_m(u) = 1/\sigma_{\rm P}$  $\overline{g}^2(2L) = \sigma(u)$ 

• Once the running coupling is known in a range [u<sub>0</sub>, u<sub>n</sub>],

$$u_0 = \bar{g}^2(L_{\min}), \quad u_k = \bar{g}^2(2^k L_{\min}), k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

determine  $\sigma_m(u)$  for the same range of couplings: evolution of quark mass and coupling recursively

 $\overline{m}(2^k L_{\min})/\overline{m}(2^{k-1}L_{\min}) = \sigma_m(u_k), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ 

- one obtains  $\overline{m}(2L_{\max})/\overline{m}(L_{\min})$
- Extract  $\overline{m}(L_{\min})/M$  using PT as for A-parameter

#### Running mass in the SF scheme [ALPHA '05 ]



(日)、

э

#### Relation to bare quark masses

• In practice with Wilson type quarks, one avoids the additive renormalisation of the bare quark mass parameter by replacing it by a *measured* bare mass  $m_{PCAC}$  from the (bare) PCAC relation:

$$m_{ ext{PCAC}} \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} rac{\langle \partial_{\mu} A^{a}_{\mu}(x) O 
angle}{2 \langle P^{a}(x) O 
angle}$$

• The running quark mass is then related to  $m_{
m PCAC}$ 

$$\overline{m}(L) = \underbrace{Z_{\mathrm{P}}^{-1}(g_0, L/a) Z_{\mathrm{A}}(g_0)}_{\mathsf{known factors}} \underbrace{m_{\mathrm{PCAC}}(g_0)}_{\mathsf{measured}},$$

Combine results,

$$M = Z_M(g_0)m_{\rm PCAC}(g_0)$$

and take the continuum limit  $g_0 \rightarrow 0$ .

The most recent  $N_{\rm f}=2$  result for the strange quark mass using this strategy ([ALPHA '12 ]):

 $M_s = 138(3)(1) \,\mathrm{MeV} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overline{m}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu = 2 \,\mathrm{GeV}) = 102(3)(1) \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

- Quoted errors are statistical and systematic;
- <u>Note</u>: Except for quenching of the strange quark the ALL systematic errors have been addressed!

# Concluding remarks

- The recursive finite volume technique has completely eliminated the problem with large scale differences.
- Physical results require a matching calculation at a low energy scale: it is crucial to have a range in bare couplings where both, the renormalisation conditions and the hadronic input can be computed
- Whether perturbation theory for the running coupling/operator is working well or not down to low scales is not so important; you would not know this beforehand!
- Most recent state of the art: QCD with  $N_{\rm f} = 3$  & some further technical refinements:
  - gradient flow coupling in finite volume scheme at low energies
  - Global fits to the step-scaling function
  - Improved control of cutoff effects
  - use of the flow time scale  $t_0$  instead of  $r_0$
- Many more applications in the literature: all quark bilinear operators,  $\Delta F = 2$  4-quark operators, HQET,...